

ISESS 2013, October 9-10, Neusiedl am See, Austria

# Classifying Environmental Monitoring Systems

Mauno Rönkkö, Ville Kotovirta, and Mikko Kolehmainen



---

# Outline

1. Why classification?
2. Classification method
3. Environmental monitoring systems to be classified
4. Application domain based classification results
5. Functionality based classification results
6. Architecture based classification results
7. Conclusion

---

# 1. Why classification?

- Trend:
  - ever more specialized environmental monitoring systems.
- Issues with monitoring systems:
  - Should we implement yet another, or could we use some existing one?
  - Which of the existing systems fits our needs?
  - What is expected of interoperability?
  - How should we refine a system to fit a specific application domain?
  - Does some open source solution provide sufficient functionality?
  - Are there some critical, architectural differences?
  - Is there a market for a novel environmental monitoring system?
  - Why cannot we reuse existing infrastructure?

---

# 1. Why classification?

- Trend:
  - ever more specialized environmental monitoring systems.
- Issues with monitoring systems:
  - **Should we implement yet another, or could we use some existing one?**
  - Which of the existing systems fits our needs?
  - What is expected of interoperability?
  - How should we refine a system to fit a specific application domain?
  - Does some open source solution provide sufficient functionality?
  - Are there some critical, architectural differences?
  - Is there a market for a novel environmental monitoring system?
  - Why cannot we reuse existing infrastructure?

---

## 2. Classification method (1/4)

- Three viewpoints:

1. Application domain:

- phenomenon, interest, task, benefit

2. Functionality:

- operation, interaction, performance, reliability, method, maintenance

3. Architecture:

- implementation, data, capacity, connectivity

## 2. Classification method (2/4)

Application Domain		Interest					Task					Benefit															
		Authorities	Researchers	Rescue workers	City council	Citizens	Households	Measuring	Monitoring	Learning	Analysis	Decision-making	Understanding	Comfort	Saving costs	Improved efficiency	Improved security	Income									
Phenomena	Ocean																										
	Weather																										
	Indoor air																										
	Water consumption																										
	Energy consumption																										
	Vibration																										
	Noise																										
	Chemical dispersion																										
Interest	Authorities	Redundant																									
	Researchers																										
	Rescue workers																										
	City council																										
	Citizens																										
	Households																										
Task	Measuring	Redundant					Redundant																				
	Monitoring																										
	Learning																										
	Analysis																										
	Decision-making																										

## 2. Classification method (3/4)

Functionality		Interaction			Performance						Reliability			Methods		Maintenance		
		Poll	Subscribe	Reactive	Real-time	Delayed response	Local	National	International	MB dataflow	GB dataflow	Safety-critical	Robust	Uncertainty managed	Statistical	Model-based	Calibration	Software revision
Operation	Relay																	
	Measure																	
	Store																	
	Transform																	
	Produce new																	
	Forecast																	
	Extract knowledge																	
	Presentation																	
Interaction	Poll																	
	Subscribe	Redundant																
	Reactive																	
Performance	Real-time																	
	Delayed response																	
	Local																	
	National																	
	International																	
	MB dataflow																	
	GB dataflow																	
Reliability	Safety-critical																	
	Robust																	
	Uncertainty managed																	
Methods	Statistical																	
	Model-based	Redundant			Redundant						Redundant			Redundant				

## 2. Classification method (4/4)

Architecture		Data						Capacity						Connectivity		
		Local	National	International	Tens of variables	Hundreds of variables	Quality controlled	External sources	GB storage	TB storage	MB processing	GB processing	Tens of sensors	Hundreds of sensors	Required external services	Provided external services
Implementation	Loosely coupled															
	Multiple technologies															
	Use of standards															
	Multiple languages															
Data	Local	Redundant														
	National															
	International															
	Tens of variables															
	Hundreds of variables															
	Quality controlled															
	External sources															
Capacity	GB storage	Redundant						Redundant								
	TB storage															
	MB processing															
	GB processing															
	Tens of sensors															
	Hundreds of sensors															



---

## 3. Environmental monitoring systems to be classified (1/2)

1. NOAA Integrated Ocean Observing Systems:
  - High frequency radar data, ocean observations
2. INTAPMAP WU:
  - Weather data form private stations, quality control management
3. Indoor air quality and energy efficiency monitoring (AsTEKa):
  - Sensor network with heterogeneous sensors

---

## 3. Environmental monitoring systems to be classified (2/2)

4. Distributed service network for safety & security apps (TiTiMaKe):
  - Outdoor sensing with heterogeneous sensors
  - Integration of computational services
5. Icebreaker navigation and planning (IBPlott):
  - Uses satellite images, near-real time environmental data
6. Participatory sensing (EnviObserver):
  - People as sensors (with mobile devices)

# 4. Application domain classification results (1/4)

Application Domain	Interest							Task					Benefit											
	Authorities	Researchers	Rescue workers	Industry	City council	Citizens	Households	Measuring	Monitoring	Learning	Analysis	Decision-making	Understanding	Health and well-being	Comfort	Saving costs	Improved efficiency	Improved security	Income					
Phenomena	Ocean	A, E	A	A	E	A	F		A, F	E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	F	F	A, E	A, E	A, E	E					
	Weather	A	A, B	A		A	B	B	A, B	E	A, B	A, B, E	E	A, B		B	A	A	A					
	Air quality					F			F	F	F	F	F	F	F									
	Indoor air		C					C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C							
	Water consumption		C					C	C		C	C				C	C							
	Energy consumption		C					C	C	C	C	C	C			C	C							
	Vibration		D						D	D	D	D		D					D					
	Noise		D						D	D	D	D		D					D					
	Chemical dispersion		D						D	D	D	D		D					D					
Interest	Authorities	Redundant							E, F	E	E	A, E	E			A	A, E	A, E						
	Researchers							A, B, C, D, F	D	A, B, D, F	A, B, C, D, F		A, B, C, D, F	C									D	
	Rescue workers											A									A	A	A	
	Industry												E	E	E	E	E	E			E	E	E	E
	City council															A	A				A	A	A	
	Citizens												B			B	B	F	F	B, F	F			F
	Households												B, C	C	C	B, C	B, C	C	C	B, C	C	C		
Task	Measuring	Redundant						Redundant					A, C, D, F	F	B, C, F	C, F	C, F	A, D, F						
	Monitoring												E, F	F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E					
	Learning												A, B, C, D, E, F	C, F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E					
	Analysis												A, C, D, E, F	C, F	B, C, F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, D, E	E					
	Decision-making												E, F	F	F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, E	E					

A=NOOA IOOS  
 B=INTAMAP WU  
 C=AsTEKa  
 D=TiTiMaKe  
 E=IBPlott  
 F=EnviObserver

# 4. Application domain classification results (2/4)

Application Domain	Interest							Task					Benefit												
	Authorities	Researchers	Rescue workers	Industry	City council	Citizens	Households	Measuring	Monitoring	Learning	Analysis	Decision-making	Understanding	Health and well-being	Comfort	Saving costs	Improved efficiency	Improved security	Income						
Phenomena	Ocean	A, E	A	A	E	A	F		A, F	E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	F	F	A, E	A, E	A, E	E					
	Weather	A	A, B	A		A	B	B	A, B	E	A, B	A, B, E	E	A, B		B	A	A	A						
	Air quality					F			F	F	F	F	F	F	F										
	Indoor air		C					C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C							
	Water consumption		C					C	C	C		C	C			C	C								
	Energy consumption		C					C	C	C	C	C	C	C		C	C								
	Vibration		D						D	D	D	D		D					D						
	Noise		D						D	D	D	D		D					D						
	Chemical dispersion		D						D	D	D	D		D					D						
Interest	Authorities	Redundant							E, F	E	E	A, E	E			A	A, E	A, E							
	Researchers							A, B, C, D, F	D	A, B, D, F	A, B, C, D, F		A, B, C, D, F	C									D		
	Rescue workers											A									A	A	A		
	Industry												E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
	City council															A	A				A	A	A		
	Citizens												B			B	B	F	F	B, F	F		F		
	Households												B, C	C	C	B, C	B, C	C	C	B, C	C	C			
Task	Measuring	Redundant						Redundant					A, C, D, F	F	B, C, F	C, F	C, F	A, D, F							
	Monitoring												E, F	F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E						
	Learning												A, B, C, D, E, F	C, F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E						
	Analysis												A, C, D, F	C, F	B, C, F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, D, E	E						
	Decision-making												E, F	F	F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, E	E						

A=NOOA IOOS  
 B=INTAMAP WU  
 C=AsTEKa  
 D=TiTiMaKe  
 E=IBPlott  
 F=EnviObserver

# 4. Application domain classification results (3/4)

## Health and Comfort

Application Domain	Interest							Task					Benefit						
	Authorities	Rescue workers	Industry	City council	Citizens	Households	Researchers	Measuring	Monitoring	Learning	Analysis	Decision-making	Understanding	Health and well-being	Comfort	Saving costs	Improved efficiency	Improved security	Income
Phenomena																			
Ocean	A, E	A	E	A	F		A	A, F	E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	A, E, F	F	F	A, E	A, E	A, E	E
Weather	A	A		A	B	B	A, B	A, B	E	A, B	A, B, E	E	A, B		B	A	A	A	
Air quality					F			F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F				
Indoor air						C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C		
Water consumption						C	C	C	C		C	C				C	C		
Energy consumption						C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C			C	C		
Vibration								D	D	D	D		D					D	
Noise								D	D	D	D		D					D	
Chemical dispersion								D	D	D	D		D					D	
Interest									E, F	E	E	A, E	E			A	A, E	A, E	
Rescue workers												A				A	A	A	
Industry									E	E	E	E	E			E	E	E	E
City council												A	A			A	A	A	
Researchers								A, B, C, D, F	D	A, B, D, F	A, B, C, D, F		A, B, C, D, F	C				D	
Citizens								B			B	B	F	F	B, F	F		F	
Households								B, C	C	C	B, C	B, C	C	C	B, C	C	C		
Task																			
Measuring													A, C, D, F	F	B, C, F	C, F	C, F	A, D, F	
Learning													A, B, C, D, E, F	C, F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E
Analysis													A, C, D, E, F	C, F	B, C, F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, D, E	E
Monitoring													E, F	F	F	C, E	C, E	D, E	E
Decision-making													E, F	F	F	A, C, E	A, C, E	A, E	E

A=NOOA IOOS  
 B=INTAMAP WU  
 C=AsTEKa  
 D=TiTiMaKe  
 E=IBPlott  
 F=EnviObserver

---

## 4. Application domain classification results (4/4)

1. Health and comfort
  - INTAMAP WU, AsTEKa, EnviObserver
  - Emphasis on delivering personalized information.
2. Sea and water
  - NOAA IOOS, IBPlott, EnviObserver
  - Services for authors supporting monitoring and decision making
3. Improved security
  - NOAA IOOS, TiTiMaKe, IBPlott, EnviObserver
  - Share an interest for understanding underlying phenomena
4. Improved efficiency
  - NOAA IOOS, AsTEKa, IBPlott
  - Emphasis on saving costs, learning, and analysis

---

# 5. Functionality classification results

## 1. Local monitoring

- AsTEKa, TiTiMaKe
- Model based methods

## 2. Decision making

- NOAA IOOS, INTAMAP WU, TiTiMaKe
- Forecasting, knowledge extraction, delayed response

## 3. Robust and reliable

- NOAA IOOS, IBPlott, EnviObserver
- Non-cohesive, including real-time monitoring, uncertainty management, use of statistical methods

---

## 6. Architecture classification results

### 1. Open systems

- INTAMAP WU, EnviObserver
- Loosely coupled, open interfaces

### 2. Closed systems

- NOAA IOOS, AsTEKa, TiTiMake
- Use of standards, locality, specialized interfaces

### 3. Large data flow

- NOAA IOOS, IBPlott
- Same domain (ocean)!

### 4. Externally dependent

- INTAMAP WU, TiTiMaKe, IBPlott, EnviObserver
- Loosely coupled components, multiple technologies
- Real-time aspects vs. forecasting



---

## 7. Conclusion

- We proposed a cross-tabulation method for classifying environmental monitoring systems.
- The analysis reveals interesting shared and disjoint aspects.
- Problem: detailed information is seldom available.
- Method is good for mapping competition, strengths and weaknesses.



**Contact:**

Mauno Rönkkö, University of Eastern Finland  
mauno.ronkko@uef.fi



*www.uef.fi*